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1. Introduction

Recently, physicists have become interested in the analysis and modelling of financial systems. Several papers have been published in physics, economics and interdisciplinary journals. Examples are: (i) the study of the statistical properties of stock price changes (Mantegna, 1991; Mantegna & Stanley, 1994; Mantegna & Stanley, 1995); (ii) a novel approach to the option pricing problem (Bouchaud & Sornette, 1994); (iii) the investigation of models of artificial financial markets (Takayasu et al., 1992; Bak et al., 1993; Levy & Solomon, 1996; Galluccio & Zhang, 1996; Bak et al., 1997) and (iv) the comparison between price dynamics in financial markets and velocity dynamics in turbulence (Ghashghaie et al., 1996; Mantegna & Stanley, 1996). Most of the above studies have focused on the time evolution of stock prices or on problems related to it (as the option pricing problem).

Financial time series are modelled as stochastic processes (Samuelson, 1965). Empirical studies performed to quantify the degree of temporal correlation in the time evolution of stock price differences have shown (see, for example (Lo, 1991)) that time correlation is rather weak or absent in a time interval ranging from less than a trading day to several years. The modelling of the time series of the discounted price of a financial asset in terms of a stochastic process (specifically in terms of a martingale (Doob, 1953), which is, roughly speaking, a stochastic process with zero drift) may seem paradoxical at first sight. The resolution of the above paradox lies in the fact that time series which are rich in information are indeed in-
distinguishable from random processes. This last statement is one of the conclusions of algorithm complexity theory.

When one attempts to model a stock exchange as a complex system, taking into account the simultaneous presence of several stocks traded on the same market, the simplest hypothesis is to consider stock prices as an ensemble of random processes with no cross-correlation between them. However, this naive approach is not consistent with the expectation that common economic factors drive the time evolution of the prices of financial goods (Ross, 1976). The assumption that a varying degree of cross-correlation between pairs of stock prices is present in financial markets is a basic assumption in the theory of selecting the most efficient portfolio of financial goods (Markowitz, 1959). Portfolio selection theory relies on the property, observed in empirical data, that the covariance between different stock price changes might be positive, negligible or negative in financial markets.

In this paper, I present empirical results on the degree of cross-correlation between pairs of stocks traded on the New York Stock Exchange and on the long term temporal evolution of this cross-correlation. Specifically, I investigate the correlation coefficient (Feller, 1971) for all pairs of stocks used to compute the Dow Jones Industrial Average (DJIA) index and the Standard and Poor’s 500 (S&P500) index. I confirm the presence of correlation and anti-correlation between pairs of stocks. The correlation and anti-correlation is observed on a time scale of years and the values of correlation coefficients are slowly time dependent with characteristic times longer than a year. I investigate the statistical properties of the ensemble of correlation coefficients measured at different time periods. I detect a slow temporal dynamics of the statistical properties of the ensemble, which is consistent with the assumption that common economic factors affecting the time evolution of stock prices are present in financial markets.

2. Correlation Coefficient Matrix

I analyze two portfolios of stocks of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE): (i) the 30 stocks used to compute the DJIA; (ii) the 500 stocks used to compute the S&P500 index (in this last case some of the stocks used are traded on the Over the Counter (OTC) market). The time period of the investigated database ranges from July 3rd, 1989 to October 27th, 1995. For investigating the database I divide it up according to calendar years.

I investigate the synchronous correlation coefficient between the time evolution of the logarithm of the daily closure price $Y_i = \ln P_i(t) - \ln P_i(t-1)$ of a pair of stocks $i$ and $j$, where $i$ and $j$ are the numerical labels of the stocks and $P_i(t)$ is the price of the stock $i$ at the day $t$. I compute the correlation
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Figure 1. Time evolution of the logarithm of price (daily closure data) of Coca Cola Co. (bottom curve) and Procter & Gamble (top curve) for 1990. The time axis is the trading time (in days). The synchronization between the two time series is apparent. The correlation coefficient is $\rho = 0.73$.

The correlation coefficient by following the mathematical definition (Feller, 1971)

$$
\rho_{ij} = \frac{<Y_i Y_j> - <Y_i> <Y_j>}{\sqrt{(<Y_i^2> - <Y_i>^2)(<Y_j^2> - <Y_j>^2)}}
$$

(1)

The average is a temporal average performed on all the trading days of the investigated time period. $\rho_{ij}$ quantifies the correlation observed between the logarithm of the price of stock $i$ and the logarithm of the price of stock $j$. $\rho$ varies from -1 to 1. $\rho = 1$ indicates full correlation between $Y_i$ and $Y_j$, $\rho = -1$ means full anti-correlation, while $\rho = 0$ is observed for an uncorrelated pair of stocks. A portfolio of $n$ stocks has an associated $n \times n$ correlation coefficient matrix. The matrix is symmetric with 1’s in the main diagonal. The number of correlation coefficients necessary to completely describe the matrix is $n(n - 1)/2$.

DJIA

The number of $\rho_{ij}$ correlation coefficients for this set of stocks is 435. By analyzing the correlation coefficient matrices obtained for the entire time period and for the calendar years from 1990 to 1994, I observe that a strong
cross-correlation is present between pairs of stocks of this portfolio in several cases. The highest correlation coefficient on a time scale of 1 year is observed between Coca Cola and Procter & Gamble in 1990 ($\rho = 0.73$). The time evolution of this pair of stocks is shown in Fig. 1. The synchronization between the two stochastic processes is apparent.

A direct analysis of all pairs of stocks is, of course, unreasonable even for moderately small portfolios. Hence, I investigate the statistical properties of the elements of the correlation coefficient matrix. The probability density function (pdf) $P(\rho)$ empirically measured, namely the probability density to find values of $\rho$ within the interval $(\rho - dp, \rho + dp)$ is a bell shaped curve, which is approximately symmetrical with pronounced tails (especially when $\rho > 0$). More detailed conclusions about the pdf cannot be drawn from the empirical results obtained in this portfolio of stocks because the measured pdfs are rather noisy due to the relatively limited number of correlation coefficients present in this portfolio. In Fig. 2 I show the average value and standard deviation of $\rho$ in the time intervals investigated. The time evolution of the pdf of the correlation coefficients is clearly observed. This finding is consistent with the assumption that stock returns are affected, to some degree, by the same common economic factors (Ross, 1976).

The values of $\rho$ are slowly time dependent. For example, in the case
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of Coca Cola and Procter & Gamble the values of $\rho$ in different calendar years are 0.73 (1990), 0.47 (1991), 0.28 (1992), 0.33 (1993) and 0.39 (1994). The values of $\rho$ are not fully indicative because the average values of $\rho$ are also time dependent. A better observable is the distance of $\rho_{ij}$ of the pair of stocks from the average value of $\rho$ given in units of standard deviations $(\delta = (\rho - <\rho>) / \sigma_{\rho})$. In this units, I measure 2.62 (1990), 1.73 (1991), 1.25 (1992), 2.44 (1993) and 2.27 (1994), for the pair Coca Cola and Procter & Gamble. The distance from the average value is always larger than one, ranging from 1.25 to 2.62 in units of standard deviations.

Moderately low anti-correlations between pairs of stocks with values of $\rho$ in the range $-0.16 < \rho < 0$ are also detected. The highest degree of anti-correlation is observed between Philip Morris and Exxon Corp ($\rho = -0.163$) in 1993.

STANDARD & POOR’S 500

The same analysis may also be performed in the portfolio of stocks used to compute the S&P500 index. In this case the number of independent correlation coefficients of the correlation coefficient matrix is of the order of $10^5$. This implies that the empirical determination of the pdf $P(\rho)$ and of its low moments is rather accurate. $P(\rho)$, measured in the overall time interval, is a double peaked pdf with the main peak observed at $\rho \approx 0.15$ and the second peak observed at $\rho \approx -0.05$ (see Fig. 3). The ratio between the heights of the two peaks is approximately 30. In the portfolio of stocks used to compute the S&P500 index there are several stocks which are moderately correlated and a relatively small number of stocks which are anti-correlated. High values of $\rho$ are measured and the occurrences of these observations are much higher than predicted by assuming a Gaussian distribution for the $P(\rho)$. The tail observed for high values of $\rho$ is apparently non-Gaussian.

In the analyses performed for the calendar years, I observe that the pdf of the correlation coefficient slightly changes its shape depending on the investigated time period. Fig. 4 shows the measured distributions in a semilogarithmic plot to put emphasis on the tails of the distributions. The pdfs always show an approximate bell shaped curve around the average value of $\rho$ but prominent tails are observed in the intervals $0.4 < \rho < 0.9$. Anti-correlated pairs of stocks are present in all the calendar years investigated and a behavior reminiscent of the two peaks observed in the analysis of the time period from 7/89 to 10/95 is observed in the 1990 and 1991 pdfs. The average value and standard deviation of $\rho$, measured in the calendar years from 1990 to 1994, is given in Fig. 5. The time dependence of the average value of $\rho$ for the S&P500 set of data is qualitatively the same as that observed for the stocks of the DJIA (see Fig. 2). Specifically, a minimum
Figure 3. Probability density function of the elements of the correlation coefficient matrix determined for the S&P500 portfolio of stocks in the time period from July 1989 to October 1995. The plot is semilogarithmic to show the tails of the distribution. Two peaks are observed, the most intense for correlated pairs of stocks $\rho \approx 0.15$ and the second one for anti-correlated pairs of stocks $\rho \approx -0.05$. The right tail of the distribution is markedly non-Gaussian.

is observed in 1993 and a maximum is observed in 1990 for both sets of stocks. Even for this portfolio of stocks, it is then possible to conclude that the time evolution of $<\rho>$ is consistent with the assumption that common economic factors affect the time evolution of the price of several stocks in a financial market (Ross, 1976). However, with the tools of statistical analysis used in the present investigation it is not possible to conclude whether the economic common factors affecting the portfolio of DJIA stocks are also exactly the same common economic factors affecting the portfolio of S&P500 stocks. In this set of stocks, the highest correlation between a pair of stocks on a time scale of 1 year is observed between Homestake Mining and Placer Dome Inc. in 1994 ($\rho = 0.82$) while the highest anti-correlation is observed between Barrick Gold and Nynex Corp ($\rho = -0.30$) in 1990. As for the DJIA stock set, the values of $\rho$ are slowly time dependent. As an example, let us consider the correlation coefficient of Barrick Gold and Nynex Corp. The correlation coefficient between these two stocks working in the industry sectors of gold & silver ores and telecommunications are -0.30 (1990), -0.10 (1991), -0.05 (1992), -0.13 (1993) and +0.01 (1994). The correlation coefficient between Barrick Gold and Nynex Corp is always well
below the average value of $\rho$ for of the S&P500 portfolio. The distance from the average value ranges from -1.55 to -4.83 in units of standard deviations. This behavior is not specific to this pair of stocks. It is indeed observed for other pairs of stocks. This means that several pairs of stocks maintain a certain degree of correlation on a long time scale.

**Discussion**

The analysis reported in this paper shows that pairs of stock prices are correlated, uncorrelated or weakly anti-correlated on the New York Stock Exchange. The correlation coefficient between a pair of stocks is slowly time dependent on a time scale of years. The probability density function of the correlation coefficient $P(\rho)$ slowly evolves also in time. The time evolution of $<\rho>$ shows a similar behavior in the DJIA and in the S&P 500 portfolios of stocks on a yearly time scale.

Are these findings of moderately strong and relatively stable correlations and anti-correlations observed between pairs of stocks consistent with the
Figure 5. Average value of the non-diagonal elements of the correlation coefficient matrix versus time for the portfolio of stocks used to compute the S&P500 index. The error bar indicates plus or minus one standard deviation from the average value.

paradigm of an efficient market? Are they consistent with the assumption that discounted stock prices are uncorrelated in time? To answer this last question note that even if one can extract from the market time series information about the degree of cross-correlation between pairs of stocks of a given portfolio, this information cannot be used to predict the future value of each single stock price.

The result of these analyses show that a picture based on the assumption that all stock prices are uncorrelated is not a realistic one. The stock exchange is far more “complex” than a collection of several independent random processes and the presence of cross-correlation between pairs of stocks supports the assumption that common economic factors are affecting the time evolution of stock prices in financial markets.

Acknowledgments

Financial support from MURST and INFM is gratefully acknowledged.

References