Stability of time-reversal symmetry breaking spin liquid states in high-spin fermionic systems

Edina Szirmai

International School and Workshop on Anyon Physics of Ultracold Atomic Gases Kaiserslautern, 12-15. 12. 2014.





- Quantum simulation of fundamental models (properties, phenomena).
- Novel behavior, completely new phases are expected due to the high spin.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで



- Quantum simulation of fundamental models (properties, phenomena).
- Novel behavior, completely new phases are expected due to the high spin.

A possible explanation for the mechanism of high- T_c superconductivity and their strange behavior in the non-superconducting phase based on the strong magnetic fluctuation in dopped Mott insulators. These fluctuation can be treated within the spin liquid concept.

• High-*T_c* superconductors

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●



- Quantum simulation of fundamental models (properties, phenomena).
- Novel behavior, completely new phases are expected due to the high spin.

In topological phases of spin liquids the quasiparticles have fractional statistics. They are nonlocal and resist well against local perturbations. Promising qbit candidates.

• High-*T_c* superconductors

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

Quantum information



- Quantum simulation of fundamental models (properties, phenomena).
- Novel behavior, completely new phases are expected due to the high spin.

Low energy excitations above spin liquids can be described by effective gauge theories. Aim: to study various gauge theories with ultracold atoms.

- High-*T_c* superconductors
- Quantum information
- Simulation of gauge theories

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Atoms loaded into an optical lattice

Atoms loaded into an optical lattice

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

• Periodic potential: standing wave laser light.

Atoms loaded into an optical lattice

- Periodic potential: standing wave laser light.
- Interaction between the neutral atoms:



- van der Waals interaction
- in case of alkaline-earth atoms: spin independent s-wave collisions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで

Atoms loaded into an optical lattice

- Periodic potential: standing wave laser light.
- Interaction between the neutral atoms:



- van der Waals interaction
- in case of alkaline-earth atoms: spin independent s-wave collisions

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

Easy to control the model parameters:

- Interaction strength: Feshbach resonance
- Localization: laser intensity
- Lattice geometry

Outline

- Part I
 - Fundamentals of high spin systems
 - Spin wave description
 - Valence bond picture
- Part II
 - Competing spin liquid states of spin-3/2 fermions in a square lattice
 - Competing spin liquid states of spin-5/2 fermions in a honeycomb lattice
 - Properties of chiral spin liquid state
 - Stability of the spin liquid states beyond the mean-field approximation
 - Finite temperature behavior
 - Experimentally measurable quantities

In collaboration with:

- M. Lewenstein
- P. Sinkovicz
- G. Szirmai
- A. Zamora

PRA 88(R) 043619 (2013)

- PRA 84 011611 (2011)
- EPL 93, 66005 (2011)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

The Hubbard Hamiltonian with n.n hopping and on-site interaction:

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} c^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha} c_{j,\alpha} + \sum_{i} U^{\alpha,\beta}_{\gamma,\delta} c^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha} c^{\dagger}_{i,\beta} c_{i,\delta} c_{i,\gamma}.$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

The Hubbard Hamiltonian with n.n hopping and on-site interaction:

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} c^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha} c_{j,\alpha} + \sum_{i} U^{\alpha,\beta}_{\gamma,\delta} c^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha} c^{\dagger}_{i,\beta} c_{i,\delta} c_{i,\gamma}.$$

$$S = |F_1 - F_2|, \dots |F_1 + F_2|$$

$$S_z = -S, \dots S$$

F: individual atoms, *S*: total spin of 2 scattering atoms

2 components: \uparrow , and \downarrow



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ ● ○ ○ ○

The Hubbard Hamiltonian with n.n hopping and on-site interaction:

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} c^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha} c_{j,\alpha} + \sum_{i} U^{\alpha,\beta}_{\gamma,\delta} c^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha} c^{\dagger}_{i,\beta} c_{i,\delta} c_{i,\gamma}.$$

 $\beta = \frac{3}{2} \quad S_z = 3$



$$S = |F_1 - F_2|, \dots |F_1 + F_2|$$

$$S_z = -S, \dots S$$

F: individual atoms, S: total spin of 2 scattering atoms

$$F_1 = F_2 = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$S = 0: S_z = 0,$$

$$S = 1: S_z = -1, 0, 1$$

$$S = 2: S_z = -2, \dots, 2$$

$$S = 3: S_z = -3, \dots, 3$$

The Hamiltonian:

 $H = H_{kin} + H_{int}$

where *H_{int}* contains many types of scattering processes.

on-site interaction

Pauli's principle

The only nonzero terms are completly antisymmetric for the exchange of the spin of the two scattering particles.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●



The only nonzero terms are completly antisymmetric for the exchange of the spin of the two scattering particles.

spin-1/2 fermions

Only singlet scatterings are allowed:

•
$$S_{tot} = 0$$

$$\begin{split} H &= -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j,\sigma} + H.c.) + \sum_{i} U_{0} \mathscr{P}_{0}^{(i)}, \text{ and} \\ \mathscr{P}_{0}^{(i)} &= c_{i,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{i,\downarrow} c_{i,\uparrow} = n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} \text{ projects to the singlet} \\ \text{subspace } S_{tot} = 0. \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで



on-site interaction

Pauli's principle

The only nonzero terms are completly antisymmetric for the exchange of the spin of the two scattering particles.

spin-3/2 fermions

Classification of the allowed scattering processes:

$$\begin{split} H &= -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j,\sigma} + H.c.) + \sum_{i} \left[U_{0} \mathscr{P}_{0}^{(i)} + U_{2} \mathscr{P}_{2}^{(i)} \right], \\ \text{and } \mathscr{P}_{S}^{(i)} &= c_{i,\sigma_{1}}^{\dagger} c_{i,\sigma_{2}}^{\dagger} c_{i,\sigma_{3}} c_{i,\sigma_{4}} \hat{P}_{S}, \text{ where } \hat{P}_{S} \text{ projects to} \\ \text{the subspace } S_{tot} = S. \end{split}$$

T. Ohmi and K. Machida JPSJ (1998)

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



 $H = H_{kin} + H_{int}$

where *H_{int}* contains many types of scattering processes.

on-site interaction

Pauli's principle

The only nonzero terms are completly antisymmetric for the exchange of the spin of the two scattering particles.

spin-5/2 fermions

Classification of the allowed scattering processes:

• $S_{tot} = 0$

•
$$S_{tot} = 4$$

$$\begin{split} H &= -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j,\sigma} + H.c.) \\ &+ \sum_{i} \left[U_{0} \mathscr{P}_{0}^{(i)} + U_{2} \mathscr{P}_{2}^{(i)} + U_{4} \mathscr{P}_{4}^{(i)} \right], \\ \text{and } \mathscr{P}_{S}^{(i)} &= c_{i,\sigma_{1}}^{\dagger} c_{i,\sigma_{2}}^{\dagger} c_{i,\sigma_{3}} c_{i,\sigma_{4}} \hat{P}_{S}, \text{ where } \hat{P}_{S} \text{ projects to} \\ \text{the subspace } S_{lot} = S. \\ &\text{T. L. Ho PRL (1998)} \\ \text{T. Ohmi and K. Machida JPSJ (1998)} \end{split}$$

The Hubbard Hamiltonian with n.n hopping and on-site interaction:

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} c^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha} c_{j,\alpha} + U \sum_{i} c^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha} c^{\dagger}_{i,\beta} c_{i,\alpha} c_{i,\beta}.$$

spin independent interaction \rightarrow SU(N) symmetry

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

The Hubbard Hamiltonian with n.n hopping and on-site interaction:

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} c^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha} c_{j,\alpha} + U \sum_{i} c^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha} c^{\dagger}_{i,\beta} c_{i,\alpha} c_{i,\beta}.$$

spin independent interaction \rightarrow SU(N) symmetry

Strongly repulsive limit: $U/t \rightarrow \infty$

repulsive interaction, f = 1/(2F+1) filling (# of particles = # of sites)



nearest-neighbor hopping



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Effective Hamiltonian (spin-*F* fermions in the $U/t \rightarrow \infty$ limit)

nearest-neighbor interaction

$$H = J \sum_{\langle i,j
angle} c^{\dagger}_{i,lpha} c^{\dagger}_{j,eta} c_{j,eta} c_{j,lpha} c_{i,eta}$$

• the same spin dependence that has the original model

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Effective Hamiltonian (spin-*F* fermions in the $U/t \rightarrow \infty$ limit)

- nearest-neighbor interaction
- $H = J \sum_{\langle i,j
 angle} c^{\dagger}_{i,lpha} c^{\dagger}_{j,eta} c_{j,eta} c_{j,lpha} c_{i,eta}$
- the same spin dependence that has the original model

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



Effective Hamiltonian (spin-*F* fermions in the $U/t \rightarrow \infty$ limit)

nearest-neighbor interaction

$$H = J \sum_{\langle i,j
angle} c^{\dagger}_{i,lpha} c^{\dagger}_{j,eta} c_{j,eta} c_{j,lpha} c_{i,eta}$$

• the same spin dependence that has the original model



Without long range spin order/preserved spin rotational invariance: spin liquid state

$$(n_i = c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i,\sigma}, \text{ and } \mathbf{S}_i = c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} \mathbf{F}_{\sigma,\sigma'} c_{i,\sigma'})$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Spin exchange appears explicitly in the Hamiltonian:

•
$$F = \frac{1}{2}$$
: AFM Heisenberg model

$$H_{eff} = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left(\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j - \frac{1}{4} n_i n_j \right)$$

$$(n_i = c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i,\sigma}, \text{ and } \mathbf{S}_i = c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} \mathbf{F}_{\sigma,\sigma'} c_{i,\sigma'})$$

Spin exchange appears explicitly in the Hamiltonian:

•
$$F = \frac{1}{2}$$
: AFM Heisenberg model

$$H_{eff} = J \sum_{\langle i,j
angle} \left(\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j - rac{1}{4} n_i n_j
ight)$$

•
$$F = \frac{3}{2}$$
:

$$H_{eff}^{F=3/2} = \sum_{\langle i,j
angle} \left[a_0 \, n_i n_j + a_1 \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j + a_2 (\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j)^2 + a_3 (\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j)^3
ight]$$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

$$(n_i = c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i,\sigma}, \text{ and } \mathbf{S}_i = c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} \mathbf{F}_{\sigma,\sigma'} c_{i,\sigma'})$$

Spin exchange appears explicitly in the Hamiltonian:

•
$$F = \frac{1}{2}$$
: AFM Heisenberg model

$$H_{eff} = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left(\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j - \frac{1}{4} n_i n_j \right)$$

•
$$F = \frac{3}{2}$$
:

$$H_{eff}^{F=3/2} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left[a_0 \, n_i n_j + a_1 \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j + a_2 (\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j)^2 + a_3 (\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j)^3 \right]$$

•
$$F = \frac{5}{2}$$
:

$$H_{\text{eff}}^{F=5/2} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left[a_0 \, n_i n_j + a_1 \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j + a_2 (\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j)^2 + a_3 (\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j)^3 + a_4 (\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j)^4 + a_5 (\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j)^5 \right]$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ▲○

Antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model: Heff

Let us consider a two-site problem:

$$\mathscr{H}_{i,j} = J \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j$$

• Site-by-site picture:

 $|S_i^z = F, S_j^z = -F\rangle$: $E_{i,j} = -JF^2$. BUT this is not an eigenstate of $\mathscr{H}_{i,j} = JS_i^z S_j^z + \frac{J}{2}(S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+)$. Let S^z fluctuate to gain energy

 \Rightarrow theory of AFM spin waves

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model: He

Let us consider a two-site problem:

 $\mathscr{H}_{i,j} = J \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j$

• Site-by-site picture:

 $|S_i^z = F, S_j^z = -F\rangle$: $E_{i,j} = -JF^2$. BUT this is not an eigenstate of $\mathscr{H}_{i,j} = JS_i^z S_j^z + \frac{J}{2}(S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+)$. Let S^z fluctuate to gain energy

Fluctuation above the classical Néel state:

- Sublattice magnetization: $\langle S_A^z \rangle = - \langle S_B^z \rangle = F - \Delta F$.
- Description: magnon picture.



⇒ theory of AFM spin waves

Antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model: H

Let us consider a two-site problem:

• Site-by-site picture:

$$\begin{split} |S_i^z = F, S_j^z = -F \rangle : \quad & E_{i,j} = -JF^2. \\ \text{BUT this is not an eigenstate of } \mathscr{H}_{i,j} = JS_i^z S_j^z + \frac{J}{2}(S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+). \\ \text{Let } S^z \text{ fluctuate to gain energy} \end{split}$$

Fluctuation above the classical Néel state:

- Sublattice magnetization: $\langle S_A^z \rangle = - \langle S_B^z \rangle = F - \Delta F$.
- Description: magnon picture.
- Large $\Delta F
 ightarrow$ "quantum melting" ightarrow





・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ つ へ つ ・



$$\mathscr{H}_{i,j} = J \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 theory of AFM spin waves

- Description of the spin wave excitations \rightarrow boson operators.
- Holstein-Primakoff transformation (and similar for sublattice B):

$$S_{A,j}^{+} = \left(2F - a_{j}^{\dagger}a_{j}\right)^{1/2}a_{j} \qquad S_{A,j}^{-} = a_{j}^{\dagger}\left(2F - a_{j}^{\dagger}a_{j}\right)^{1/2}$$
$$S_{A,j}^{z} = 2 - a_{j}^{\dagger}a_{j}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

• Interacting boson system $\rightarrow (\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j)^{\rho} \rightsquigarrow$ multiboson int.

- Description of the spin wave excitations \rightarrow boson operators.
- Holstein-Primakoff transformation (and similar for sublattice B):

$$S_{A,j}^{+} = \left(2F - a_j^{\dagger}a_j\right)^{1/2}a_j \qquad S_{A,j}^{-} = a_j^{\dagger}\left(2F - a_j^{\dagger}a_j\right)^{1/2}$$
$$S_{A,j}^{z} = 2 - a_j^{\dagger}a_j$$

- Interacting boson system $\rightarrow (\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j)^p \rightsquigarrow$ multiboson int.
- Linear spin wave theory: no magnon-magnon interaction.
- The ground state energy/bond (LSWT): $E_{i,j} = -JF(F + \zeta)$, with $0 < \zeta < 1$ and geometry-dependent.

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

- Description of the spin wave excitations \rightarrow boson operators.
- Holstein-Primakoff transformation (and similar for sublattice B):

$$S_{A,j}^{+} = \left(2F - a_{j}^{\dagger}a_{j}\right)^{1/2}a_{j} \qquad S_{A,j}^{-} = a_{j}^{\dagger}\left(2F - a_{j}^{\dagger}a_{j}\right)^{1/2}$$
$$S_{A,j}^{z} = 2 - a_{j}^{\dagger}a_{j}$$

- Interacting boson system $\rightarrow (\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j)^p \rightsquigarrow$ multiboson int.
- Linear spin wave theory: no magnon-magnon interaction.
- The ground state energy/bond (LSWT): $E_{i,j} = -JF(F + \zeta)$, with $0 < \zeta < 1$ and geometry-dependent.
- If ΔF large from the LSWT:

• Beyond LSWT.

- Description of the spin wave excitations \rightarrow boson operators.
- Holstein-Primakoff transformation (and similar for sublattice B):

$$S_{A,j}^{+} = \left(2F - a_j^{\dagger}a_j\right)^{1/2}a_j \qquad S_{A,j}^{-} = a_j^{\dagger}\left(2F - a_j^{\dagger}a_j\right)^{1/2}$$
$$S_{A,j}^{z} = 2 - a_j^{\dagger}a_j$$

- Interacting boson system $\rightarrow (\mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j)^{\rho} \rightsquigarrow$ multiboson int.
- Linear spin wave theory: no magnon-magnon interaction.
- The ground state energy/bond (LSWT): $E_{i,j} = -JF(F + \zeta)$, with $0 < \zeta < 1$ and geometry-dependent.
- If ΔF large from the LSWT:

- Beyond LSWT.
- Change concept.

- Even in case of the simplest $F = \frac{1}{2}$ case there exist lattices that have ground state without breaking of spin rotational invariance (no Néel order) in the thermodynamic limit.
- Various disordered spin sates occur in e.g.
 - finite systems,
 - frustrated systems,
 - systems described by Hamiltonian with higher power of S_iS_j.

• To describe these disordered states a powerful possibility:

- Even in case of the simplest $F = \frac{1}{2}$ case there exist lattices that have ground state without breaking of spin rotational invariance (no Néel order) in the thermodynamic limit.
- Various disordered spin sates occur in e.g.
 - finite systems,
 - frustrated systems,
 - systems described by Hamiltonian with higher power of S_iS_j.
- To describe these disordered states a powerful possibility:

valence bond picture.

Valence bond picture F = 1/2 AFM Heisenberg model

Fazekas, Electron Correlation and Magnetism (1999)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Affleck, Kennedy, Lieb, Tasaki, Valence Bond Ground States in Isotropic Quantum Antiferromagnets (1988)

Back to the two-site problem:

$$\mathscr{H}_{i,j} = J \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j$$

• Site-by-site picture: $|S_i^z = F, S_j^z = -F\rangle$: $E_{i,j} = -JF^2$. BUT this is not an eigenstate of $\mathscr{H}_{i,j} = JS_i^z S_j^z + \frac{J}{2}(S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+)$. Let S^z fluctuate to gain energy

\Rightarrow theory of AFM spin waves

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Back to the two-site problem:

$$\mathscr{H}_{i,j} = J \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j$$

• Site-by-site picture: $|S_i^z = F, S_j^z = -F\rangle$: $E_{i,j} = -JF^2$. BUT this is not an eigenstate of $\mathscr{H}_{i,j} = JS_i^z S_j^z + \frac{J}{2}(S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+)$. Let S^z fluctuate to gain energy

 \Rightarrow theory of AFM spin waves

Bond-by-bond picture:

$$\mathcal{H}_{i,j} = -JF(F+1) + \frac{J}{2}(\mathbf{S}_i + \mathbf{S}_j)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\mathbf{S}_i + \mathbf{S}_j| = 0 \text{ (singlet)} \\ E_{i,j} = -JF(F+1)$$

 \Rightarrow theory of valence bonds

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

$$\mathscr{H} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathscr{H}_{i,j} = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

$$[ij] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\alpha(i)\beta(j) - \beta(i)\alpha(j)] = i$$
 singlet bond

$$\mathscr{H} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathscr{H}_{i,j} = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

$$[ij] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\alpha(i)\beta(j) - \beta(i)\alpha(j)] = i$$
 singlet bond

Singlet state on a lattice: letting all spins participate in pair bonds.

• The singlet basis for L = 4:

$$[12][34] =$$
 and $[23][41] =$

$$\mathscr{H} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathscr{H}_{i,j} = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j$$

$$[ij] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\alpha(i)\beta(j) - \beta(i)\alpha(j)] = i$$
 singlet bond

Singlet state on a lattice: letting all spins participate in pair bonds.

• The singlet basis for L = 4:



$$\mathscr{H} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathscr{H}_{i,j} = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j$$

$$[ij] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\alpha(i)\beta(j) - \beta(i)\alpha(j)] = i \longrightarrow j$$
 singlet bond



Resonate between different configurations of the valence (singlet) bonds.

L. Hulthén (1938)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

$$\mathscr{H} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathscr{H}_{i,j} = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j$$

$$[ij] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\alpha(i)\beta(j) - \beta(i)\alpha(j)] = i$$
 singlet bond

- Usually longer bonds appear.
- VB state: $|VB\rangle = \prod |[i,j]\rangle$ pairs
- RVB state: $|RVB\rangle = \sum \prod \mathscr{A}(|i-j|)|[i,j]\rangle$ conf pairs





P. W. Anderson (1973)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

1) Simplest RVB system:

L = 4, isotropic HM, F = 1/2

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

•
$$\mathcal{E}_0 = -2J$$
.
• $\langle \Psi_0 | S_j^z | \Psi_0 \rangle = 0$, for $\forall j$.
• $\langle \Phi_0 | S_1^z S_2^z | \Psi_0 \rangle = -1/6$, and $\langle \Phi_0 | S_1^z S_3^z | \Psi_0 \rangle = 1/12$

1) Simplest RVB system:

L = 4, isotropic HM, F = 1/2

$$\Psi_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left([12][34] + [23][41] \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{array} \right) + \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

•
$$\mathscr{E}_0 = -2J$$
.

•
$$\langle \Psi_0 | S_j^z | \Psi_0 \rangle = 0$$
, for $\forall j$.

• $\langle \Phi_0 | S_1^z S_2^z | \Psi_0 \rangle = -1/6$, and $\langle \Phi_0 | S_1^z S_3^z | \Psi_0 \rangle = 1/12$

\Rightarrow No magnetic order but there is an AF correlation.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

2) One-dimensional J_1 - J_2 isotropic AFM HM, F = 1/2

$$H(J_1,J_2) = J_1 \sum_j \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{S}_{j+1} + J_2 \sum_j \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{S}_{j+2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

2) One-dimensional J_1 - J_2 isotropic AFM HM, F = 1/2

$$H(J_1,J_2) = J_1 \sum_j \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{S}_{j+1} + J_2 \sum_j \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{S}_{j+2}$$

• Majumdar-Ghosh model: $J_1 = 2J_2 \equiv J$.

$$H(J,J/2) = \frac{J}{4} \sum_{j} (\mathbf{S}_{j-1} + \mathbf{S}_j + \mathbf{S}_{j+1})^2 + const.$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

• The total spin of a "trion of site *j*" is either $S_{trion} = 1/2$ or 3/2

•
$$E = \frac{J}{4}LS_{trion}(S_{trion}+1) + const. \Rightarrow S_{trion}^{(j)}(GS) = 1/2.$$

2) One-dimensional J_1 - J_2 isotropic AFM HM, F = 1/2

$$H(J_1,J_2) = J_1 \sum_j \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{S}_{j+1} + J_2 \sum_j \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{S}_{j+2}$$

• Majumdar-Ghosh model: $J_1 = 2J_2 \equiv J$.

$$H(J,J/2) = \frac{J}{4} \sum_{j} (\mathbf{S}_{j-1} + \mathbf{S}_j + \mathbf{S}_{j+1})^2 + const.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

• The total spin of a "trion of site *j*" is either $S_{trion} = 1/2$ or 3/2

•
$$E = \frac{J}{4}LS_{trion}(S_{trion}+1) + const. \Rightarrow S_{trion}^{(j)}(GS) = 1/2.$$

• Construct such a state.

2) One-dimensional J_1 - J_2 isotropic AFM HM, F = 1/2

$$H(J_1,J_2) = J_1 \sum_j \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{S}_{j+1} + J_2 \sum_j \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{S}_{j+2}$$

• Majumdar-Ghosh model: $J_1 = 2J_2 \equiv J$.



- 2-fold degeneracy.
- No magnetic order.
- (Discrete) translational inv. is broken!

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{spin liquid} \\ \leftrightarrow \\ \text{valence bond solid} \end{array}$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで



• Dimer order parameter: $\mathcal{D}_{j} = A \langle \mathbf{S}_{j-1} \mathbf{S}_{j} - \mathbf{S}_{j} \mathbf{S}_{j+1} \rangle$ (with A = -4/3J).

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

- Why there is no resonance?
- Cancellations only in the MG case $(J_2/J_1 = 1/2)$.



• Dimer order parameter: $\mathcal{D}_j = A \langle \mathbf{S}_{j-1} \mathbf{S}_j - \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{S}_{j+1} \rangle$ (with A = -4/3J).

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Why there is no resonance?
- Cancellations only in the MG case $(J_2/J_1 = 1/2)$.
- Dimer ground state above J₂/J₁ ≈ 0.24.
 - Inhomogeneous: $\mathcal{D}_j \neq 0$.
 - Only short range AF correlations.



- Dimer order parameter: $\mathcal{D}_j = A \langle \mathbf{S}_{j-1} \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{S}_{j+1} \rangle$ (with A = -4/3J).
- Why there is no resonance?
- Cancellations only in the MG case $(J_2/J_1 = 1/2)$.
- Dimer ground state above J₂/J₁ ≈ 0.24.
 - Inhomogeneous: $\mathcal{D}_j \neq 0$.
 - Only short range AF correlations.
- RVB ground state below $J_2/J_1 \approx 0.24$.
 - Homogeneous: $\mathcal{D}_j = 0$.
 - $\langle \mathbf{S}_{l}^{z} \mathbf{S}_{l}^{z} \rangle$ decay algebraically \rightarrow quasi-long range AF correlations.